

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,853	CHIEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

 (1) Zachariah Lucas.

 (2) Marcella Lillis.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the application would be in condition for allowance upon amendment of the first paragraph of the application to update the reference to parent patent application 09/881239 as patent no. 6,630,298. The Applicant agreed to the amendment, and indicated that an Examiners Amendment would be acceptable. .